# Bootstrap Estimation of a Sampling Distribution

#### Background

- Confidence intervals are derived from the sampling distribution of an estimator like  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ .
- The sampling distribution is the distribution of estimates  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  obtained from all possible samples of size n. • Approaches so far:
- - Get exact sampling distribution (not always possible, depends on correct model specification):
  - \* If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \operatorname{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then  $t = \frac{\bar{X} \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$ \* If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \operatorname{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$  If *n* is large, parameter is not on boundary of parameter space, everything is differentiable, ..., then  $\hat{\theta}^{MLE} \sim 1$  $\operatorname{Normal}(\theta, \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}^{MLE})})$
- New approach: simulation-based approximation to the sampling distribution

#### Simulation-based approximation to sampling distribution, if population distribution is known:

- 1. For b = 1, ..., B:
  - a. Draw a sample of size n from the population/data model
  - b. Calculate the estimate  $\hat{\theta}_b$  based on that sample (a number)
- 2. The distribution of estimates  $\{\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_B\}$  from different simulated samples approximates the sampling distribution of the estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  (the random variable).

Example: We have data that contains a record of the gestation time (how many weeks pregnant the mother was when she gave birth) for the population of every baby born in December 1998 in the United States.



- As  $B \to \infty$ , we get a better approximation to the distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$
- Challenge: If we don't know the population distribution, we can't simulate samples from the population

#### Idea:

• Treat the distribution of the data in our sample as an estimate of the population distribution Suppose we have a sample of 30 babies. How does its distribution compare to the population distribution? View 1: In terms of histograms (think pdfs):



### Population: 330,717 babies

#### View 2: In terms of cdfs

Recall that  $F_X(x) = P(X \le x)$ 

Based on a sample, this is estimated by the *empirical cdf*:  $\hat{F}_X(x) = \frac{\# \text{ in sample } \leq x}{n}$ If *n* is large,  $\hat{F}_X(x)$  will be a good approximation to  $F_X(x)$ .

1.0 -0.8 - $F(x) \text{ or } \hat{F}(x)$ type 0.6 -F 0.4 -F hat 0.2 -0.0 -20 30 10 40 50 gestation

If  $\hat{F}_X(x)$  (or  $\hat{f}_X(x)$ ) is a good estimate of  $F_X(x)$  (or  $f_X(x)$ ), then a sample drawn from the distribution specified by  $\hat{F}_X(x)$  will look similar to a sample drawn from  $F_X(x)$ .

- Instead of repeatedly drawing samples from  $F_X(x)$  to approximate the sampling distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$ , repeatedly draw samples from  $\hat{F}_X(x)$ .
- In practice, this means (repeatedly) draw a sample of size n with replacement from our observed data.

1. For b = 1, ..., B:

- a. Draw a bootstrap sample of size n with replacement from the observed data
- b. Calculate the estimate  $\hat{\theta}_b$  based on that bootstrap sample (a number)
- 2. The distribution of estimates  $\{\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_B\}$  from different simulated samples approximates the sampling distribution of the estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  (the random variable).



Compare the approximations from sampling directly from the population and from bootstrap resampling:

Many means, based on samples from the population:



Many means, based on bootstrap resamples with replacement from the sample:



- Properties:
  - Bootstrap distribution reproduces shape, variance, and bias of actual sampling distribution
  - Bootstrap distribution does not reproduce mean of actual sampling distribution
  - \* E.g., centered at sample mean instead of population mean
- Sketch of more formal justification:
  - Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. with pdf  $f_X(x)$
  - Our estimator is a function of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ :  $\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$
  - The sampling distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$  is determined by its cdf

$$\begin{split} F_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta^*) &= P(\hat{\theta} \leq \theta^*) \\ &= P(g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq \theta^*) \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n: g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \theta^*\}} f_X(x_1) \cdots f_X(x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \mathbb{I}_{\{-\infty, \theta^*\}} \{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} f_X(x_1) \cdots f_X(x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &\approx \int \cdots \int_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{-\infty, \theta^*\}} \{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \hat{f}_X(x_1) \cdots \hat{f}_X(x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &\approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \mathbb{I}_{\{-\infty, \theta^*\}} \{g(x_1^{(b)}, \dots, x_n^{(b)})\} \\ & \text{Law of Large Numbers, if } x_1^{(b)}, \dots, x_n^{(b) \text{ i.i.d. }} \hat{f}_X(x) \end{split}$$

- The last two lines above involve approximations.
- Note 1: It's sometimes claimed that the bootstrap can help with small sample sizes; this is FALSE. Second-to-last equation above is a large-n approximation of  $f_X(x)$  with  $\hat{f}_X(x)$ . In practice, this is useful for moderate sample sizes.
- Note 2: As long as  $B \approx 1000$  or so, the approximation in the last equation is typically good enough

## Example with Poisson data (one last time!)

Recall our Poisson data about asbestos fiber counts:

 $31,\,29,\,19,\,18,\,31,\,28,\,34,\,27,\,34,\,30,\,16,\,18,\,26,\,27,\,27,\,18,\,24,\,22,\,28,\,24,\,21,\,17,\,24$ 

Sample mean:  $\bar{x} = 24.9$ 

Model:  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ 

The maximum likelihood estimate is  $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \bar{X} = 24.9$ 

A bootstrap-based estimate of the sampling distribution of  $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$ :

```
# the dplyr package contains the sample_n function,
# which we use below to draw the bootstrap samples
library(dplyr)
# observed data: 23 counts of asbestos fibers
sample_obs <- data.frame(</pre>
  fiber_count = c(31, 29, 19, 18, 31, 28, 34, 27, 34, 30, 16, 18, 26, 27, 27, 18, 24,
                  22, 28, 24, 21, 17, 24)
)
# number of observations in sample_obs
n <- 23
# how many bootstrap samples to take, and storage space for the results
num_bootstrap_samples <- 10^3</pre>
bootstrap_estimates <- data.frame(</pre>
  estimate = rep(NA, num_bootstrap_samples)
)
# draw many samples from the observed data and calculate mean of each simulated sample
for(i in seq_len(num_bootstrap_samples)) {
  ## Draw a bootstrap sample of size n with replacement from the observed data
  bootstrap_resampled_obs <- sample_obs %>%
    sample_n(size = n, replace = TRUE)
  ## Calculate mean of bootstrap sample
  bootstrap_estimates$estimate[i] <- mean(bootstrap_resampled_obs$fiber_count)</pre>
}
```

### Plot of bootstrap estimate of sampling distribution

• Note that this is centered at  $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$  based on our sample, not at the true  $\lambda$  – but it should otherwise look similar to the actual sampling distribution (if we think n = 23 is large enough).



### Parameter Estimates from 1000 Bootstrap Samples



Bootstrap Estimate of Bias:

Actual bias is  $E(\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}) - \lambda$ , which we have shown to be 0

Estimate bias by (Average of bootstrap estimates) - (Estimate from our actual sample) =  $\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\lambda}^{(b)} - \hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$ mean(bootstrap\_estimates\$estimate) - mean(sample\_obs\$fiber\_count)

## [1] 0.01821739