Design Matrices without Full Column Rank

The Problem

In our derivation of the least squares estimates for linear regression, we took the derivatives of the residual sum of squares with respect to each of β_0, \ldots, β_p , set the results equal to 0, and figured out how to write the results in terms of matrices. We arrived at this point:

$$(X'X)\hat{\beta} = X'y$$

In order to solve for beta, we multiplied on the left by $(X'X)^{-1}$ to obtain

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

This is only possible if X'X has full rank, which is the case if and only if X has full column rank.

So, what are some examples of settings where X doesn't have full rank?

Example 1: Not enough distinct values of x

Suppose that we have the following data set, and we want to fit a simple linear regression model: example_data



What happens if we try to do estimation?

```
lm_fit <- lm(y ~ x, data = example_data)</pre>
summary(lm_fit)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y ~ x, data = example_data)
##
## Residuals:
##
         1
                  2
                          3
## -1.6667 0.3333 1.3333
##
## Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                 3.6667
                             0.8819
                                       4.158
                                               0.0533 .
## (Intercept)
## x
                      NA
                                 NA
                                         NA
                                                   NA
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.528 on 2 degrees of freedom
X <- model.matrix(lm_fit)</pre>
t(X) %*% X
##
                (Intercept)
                            х
## (Intercept)
                          3 6
## x
                          6 12
```

solve(t(X) %*% X)

Error in solve.default(t(X) %*% X): Lapack routine dgesv: system is exactly singular: U[2,2] = 0

What's going on?

- There are many possible lines that fit the data
- The slope and intercept parameters are not identifiable from the data we have

```
ggplot(data = example_data, mapping = aes(x = x, y = y)) +
geom_point() +
geom_abline(intercept = 3.6667, slope = 0, size = 1.5) +
geom_abline(intercept = 2.6667, slope = 0.5, color = "cornflowerblue", linetype = 2, size = 1.5) +
geom_abline(intercept = 7.6667, slope = -2, color = "orange", linetype = 3, size = 1.5) +
xlim(c(0, 5)) +
ylim(c(0, 5)) +
theme_bw()
```



Notice that the fitted values are the same for all of these three lines:

```
beta_hat1 <- matrix(c(3.6667, 0))</pre>
y_hat1 <- X %*% beta_hat1</pre>
y_hat1
##
        [,1]
## 1 3.6667
## 2 3.6667
## 3 3.6667
beta_hat2 <- matrix(c(2.6667, 0.5))</pre>
y_hat2 <- X %*% beta_hat2</pre>
y_hat2
##
        [,1]
## 1 3.6667
## 2 3.6667
## 3 3.6667
beta_hat3 <- matrix(c(7.6667, -2))</pre>
y_hat3 <- X %*% beta_hat3</pre>
y_hat3
##
        [,1]
## 1 3.6667
## 2 3.6667
## 3 3.6667
In turn, the residual sums of squares are the same for all three lines too:
sum((example_data$y - y_hat1)^2)
## [1] 4.666667
sum((example_data$y - y_hat2)^2)
## [1] 4.666667
sum((example_data$y - y_hat3)^2)
## [1] 4.666667
```

Example 2: Multiple Regression with Redundant Covariates

The Current Population Survey (CPS) is used to supplement census information between census years. These data consist of a random sample of persons from the 1985 CPS, with information on wages and other characteristics of the workers, including sex, number of years of education, years of work experience, occupational status, region of residence and union membership.

Suppose we fit a model for wage (in US dollars per hour) based on the following explanatory variables:

- educ number of years of education
- age age in years
- exper number of years of work experience (inferred from age and educ)
- married a factor with levels Married, Single
- sector a factor with levels clerical, const, manag, manuf, other, prof, sales, service

```
head(CPS85)
```

```
##
     wage educ age exper married
                                    sector
## 1
     9.0
            10
                43
                      27 Married
                                     const
## 2
     5.5
            12
                38
                      20 Married
                                     sales
## 3
     3.8
            12
                22
                       4 Single
                                     sales
## 4 10.5
            12
                47
                      29 Married clerical
## 5 15.0
            12 58
                      40 Married
                                     const
## 6 9.0
            16
               49
                      27 Married clerical
lm fit <- lm(wage ~ educ + age + exper + married + sector, data = CPS85)</pre>
summary(lm fit)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = wage ~ educ + age + exper + married + sector, data = CPS85)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                10 Median
                                3Q
                                        Max
##
  -10.456
           -2.841 -0.712
                              1.876
                                    34.115
##
## Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                 -4.31556
                             1.59566
                                      -2.705 0.00706 **
## (Intercept)
## educ
                  0.65293
                             0.09605
                                        6.798 2.91e-11 ***
                  0.09436
                             0.01753
                                        5.382 1.11e-07 ***
## age
## exper
                       NA
                                   NA
                                           NA
                                                    NΑ
## marriedSingle -0.39608
                             0.42309
                                      -0.936
                                              0.34962
                                        2.734 0.00646 **
## sectorconst
                  3.00449
                             1.09875
                  3.97363
                             0.76582
                                        5.189 3.04e-07 ***
## sectormanag
## sectormanuf
                  1.67249
                             0.71946
                                        2.325
                                               0.02047 *
## sectorother
                  2.13155
                             0.71153
                                        2.996 0.00287 **
## sectorprof
                  2.67365
                             0.67853
                                        3.940 9.24e-05 ***
                             0.84902
                                      -0.208 0.83499
## sectorsales
                 -0.17695
## sectorservice -0.12186
                             0.67318
                                      -0.181 0.85642
##
  ____
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 4.421 on 522 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2752, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2613
## F-statistic: 19.82 on 10 and 522 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
X <- model.matrix(lm_fit)
head(X)</pre>
```

##		(Intercept)	educ	age	exper	marriedSingle	sectorconst	sectormanag	sectormanuf	sectorother	sectorpro
##	1	1	10	43	27	0	1	0	0	0	
##	2	1	12	38	20	0	0	0	0	0	
##	3	1	12	22	4	1	0	0	0	0	
##	4	1	12	47	29	0	0	0	0	0	
##	5	1	12	58	40	0	1	0	0	0	
##	6	1	16	49	27	0	0	0	0	0	
so	lve	(t(X) %*% X)								

Error in solve.default(t(X) %*% X): system is computationally singular: reciprocal condition number

What's going on?

exper was inferred from age and educ, assuming everyone started school at age 6 and started getting job experience immediately after leaving school:

```
exper_is_made_up <- cbind(
   CPS85$exper,
   CPS85$age - CPS85$educ - 6
)</pre>
```

```
head(exper_is_made_up)
```

[,1] [,2] ## [1,] 27 27 ## [2,] 20 20 ## [3,] 4 4 ## [4,] 29 29 ## [5,] 40 40 ## [6,] 27 27

This means the fourth column of X is equal to a linear combination of the first three columns:

```
X_is_not_full_rank <- cbind(</pre>
  X[, 3] - X[, 2] - 6 * X[, 1],
  X[, 4]
)
head(X_is_not_full_rank)
##
     [,1] [,2]
       27
             27
## 1
## 2
       20
             20
## 3
        4
             4
## 4
       29
             29
## 5
       40
             40
## 6
       27
             27
```

Once again, this means that we can get the same fitted values (and therefore the same residual sum of squares) from different coefficients for those variables:

cbind(beta_hat1, beta_hat2)

```
##
                 [,1]
                             [,2]
##
    [1,] -4.31555602 -3.74940308
##
    [2,]
         0.65293313
                      0.74729196
##
    [3,]
          0.09435882
                      0.0000000
##
    [4,]
          0.00000000
                      0.09435882
##
    [5,] -0.39608164 -0.39608164
##
    [6,] 3.00448959
                      3.00448959
##
   [7,]
         3.97363158
                      3.97363158
##
   [8,]
          1.67248889
                      1.67248889
                      2.13154511
##
   [9,]
          2.13154511
## [10,] 2.67364689 2.67364689
## [11,] -0.17694859 -0.17694859
## [12,] -0.12185820 -0.12185820
y_hat1 <- X %*% beta_hat1</pre>
y_hat2 <- X %*% beta_hat2</pre>
same_fitted_values <- cbind(y_hat1, y_hat2)</pre>
head(same_fitted_values)
##
          [,1]
                     [,2]
## 1
      9.275694
               9.275694
## 2
      6.928328
               6.928328
## 3
      5.022505
               5.022505
## 4 7.954506 7.954506
## 5 11.996943 11.996943
```

6 10.754956 10.754956

Summary

A few ways to think about when you might have a design matrix that isn't full rank:

- 1) There is some redundancy in the explanatory variables
- 2) There isn't enough information in your data to learn about the relationship you're interested in (e.g. we can't separate the effects of several closely related variables because they are linear functions of each other).
- 3) Multiple different coefficient values can explain the observed data equally as well (same fitted values, so same RSS).
 - The model parameters are not identifiable.

Roughly, model parameters are identifiable if there is a unique set of parameter values that explains the observed data best.

In the case of linear regression, model parameters are identifiable if there is a unique set of parameter values that minimize RSS.